

Law Today Live Doc. Id. 15060

PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT

Before: Anupinder Singh Grewal, J.

CRM-M-14140-2020 (O & M)

Decided on: 19.06.2020

Akashpreet Singh @Laddi

Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

Respondent

Present:

Mr. Karanjeet Singh Brar, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (2 of 1974), Section 439 -- Indian Penal Code, 1860 (45 of 1860), Sections 307, 336, 353, 186, 212, 216-A, 148/149 -- Arms Act, 1959 (54 of 1959), Sections 25/54 -- Attempt to murder -- Regular bail -- No injury is attributed to the petitioner, he is 24 years of age, is in custody for over 01 year -- Covid 19 pandemic and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time -- Concession of regular bail granted to the petitioner.

(Para 1,5)

JUDGMENT

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL) –

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.70 dated 31.05.2019, under Sections 307, 336, 353, 186, 212, 216-A, 148 read with Section 149 IPC and Section 25/54 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Jhunir, District Mansa.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that allegations against the petitioner are that he is alleged to have fired shots at the police party but none of those shots are stated to have hit any of the police officials. The petitioner is 24 years of age and has been falsely implicated in this case as well as other cases. He is in custody for over one year.

3. Learned State counsel states that .12 bore rifle and 2 empty cartridges were recovered from the petitioner. As per the custody certificate of the petitioner, he is in custody for over 01 year and 15 days. He further states that 04 out of 39 prosecution witnesses have been examined.

4. Heard through video conferencing.

5. In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner, especially when no injury is attributed to the petitioner, he is 24 years of age, is in custody for over 01 year, Covid 19 pandemic and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

6. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

Petition allowed.
